




INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁷: A61F 2/16	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 00/38594 (43) International Publication Date: 6 July 2000 (06.07.00)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US99/30613 (22) International Filing Date: 22 December 1999 (22.12.99) (30) Priority Data: 09/219,594 23 December 1998 (23.12.98) US (71) Applicant: ANAMED, INC. [US/US]; D409/411, One Technology Drive, Irvine, CA 92618 (US). (72) Inventor: NIGAM, Alok; 21381 Birdhollow Drive, Trabuco Canyon, CA 92679 (US). (74) Agent: SIMPSON, Jan, K.; Fulbright & Jaworski, L.L.P., Suite 5100, 1301 McKinney, Houston, TX 77010 (US).		(81) Designated States: AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). Published <i>With international search report.</i>

(54) Title: CORNEAL IMPLANT AND METHOD OF MANUFACTURE



(57) Abstract

Prosthetic implants (94) designed to be implanted in the cornea for modifying the cornea curvature, altering the corneal refractive power for correcting myopia, hyperopia, astigmatism, presbyopia, and, in addition, such implants (94) formed of a micro-porous hydrogel material.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Switzerland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TK	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroun	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

TITLE: CORNEAL IMPLANT AND
METHOD OF MANUFACTURE

SPECIFICATION

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

5 The field of this invention relates to prosthetic implants designed to be implanted in the cornea for modifying the cornea curvature and altering the corneal refractive power for correcting myopia, hyperopia, astigmatism, and presbyopia, and, in addition, to such implants formed of a micro-porous hydrogel material.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

10 It is well known that anomalies in the shape of the eye can be the cause of visual disorders. Normal vision occurs when light that passes through and is refracted by the cornea, the lens, and other portions of the eye, and converges at or near the retina. Myopia or near-sightedness occurs when the light converges at a point before it reaches the retina and, conversely, hyperopia or far-sightedness occurs when the light converges a point beyond the retina. Other abnormal conditions include astigmatism where the outer surface of the cornea is irregular in shape and effects the ability of light to be refracted by the cornea. In addition, in patients who are older, a condition called presbyopia occurs in which there is a diminished power of accommodation of the natural lens resulting from the loss of elasticity of the lens, typically becoming significant after the age of 45.

15

20

Corrections for these conditions through the use of implants within the body of the cornea have been suggested. Various designs for such implants

include solid and split-ring shaped, circular flexible body members and other types of ring-shaped devices that are adjustable. These implants are inserted within the body of the cornea for changing the shape of the cornea, thereby altering the its refractive power.

5 These types of prostheses typically are implanted by first making a tunnel and/or pocket within the cornea which leaves the Bowman's membrane intact and hence does not relieve the inherent natural tension of the membrane.

 In the case of hyperopia, the corneal curvature must be steepened, and in the correction of myopia, it must be flattened. The correction of astigmatism can
10 be done by flattening or steepening various portions of the cornea to correct the irregular shape of the outer surface. Bi-focal implants can be used to correct for presbyopia.

 It has been recognized that desirable materials for these types of prostheses include various types of hydrogels. Hydrogels are considered desirable because
15 they are hydrophilic in nature and have the ability to transmitting fluid through the material. It has been accepted that this transmission of fluid also operates to transmit nutrients from the distal surface of the implant to the proximal surface for providing proper nourishment to the tissue in the outer portion of the cornea.

 However, while hydrogel lenses do operate to provide fluid transfer
20 through the materials, it has been found that nutrient transfer is problematic because of the nature of fluid transfer from cell-to-cell within the material. Nutrients do not pass through the hydrogel material with the same level of efficacy as water. Without the proper transfer of nutrients, tissue in the outer portion of the cornea will die causing further deterioration in a patient's eyesight.

25 Thus, there is believed to be a demonstrated need for a material for corneal implants that will allow for the efficacious transmission of nutrients from the inner surface of a corneal implant to the outer surface, so that tissue in the outer portion of the cornea is properly nourished. There is also a need for a more effective corneal implant for solving the problems discussed above.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PRIOR ART

Summary of the Invention

5 The present invention is directed to a corneal implant formed of a biocompatible, permeable, micro-porous hydrogel with a refractive index substantially similar to the refractive index of the cornea. The device, when placed under a lamellar dissection made in the cornea (such as a corneal flap), to relieve tension of Bowman's membrane, alters the outer surface of the cornea to correct the refractive error of the eye. By relieving the pressure and subsequent
10 implantation of the device, the pressure points which typically are generated in present corneal surgeries are eliminated, and hence reduced risk to patients of extrusion of implants.

The implant is preferably generally circular in shape and is of a size greater than the size of the pupil in normal or bright light, and can specifically be
15 used to correct hyperopia, myopia, astigmatism, and/or presbyopia. Due to the complete non-elastic nature of the corneal tissue, it is necessary to place the implant in the cornea with Bowman's membrane compromised, such as through a corneal lamellar dissection, to prevent extrusion of the implant from the cornea over the lifetime of the implant. Extrusion is undesirable because it tends to cause
20 clinical complications and product failure.

Preferably, for the correction of hyperopia, the implant is formed into a meniscus-shaped disc with its anterior surface radius smaller (steeper) than the posterior surface radius, and with negligible edge thickness. This design results in a device that has a thickness or dimension between the anterior and posterior
25 surfaces along the central axis greater than at its periphery. When such an implant is placed under the corneal flap, the optical zone of the cornea is steepened and a positive optical power addition is achieved.

For the correction of myopia, the implant is shaped into a meniscus lens with an anterior surface curvature that is flatter than the posterior surface. When

the implant is placed concentrically on the stromal bed the curvature of the anterior surface of the cornea in the optic zone is flattened to the extent appropriate to achieve the desired refractive correction.

For astigmatic eyes, implants are fabricated with a cylindrical addition along one of the axes. This device can be oval or elliptical in shape, with a longer axis either in the direction of cylindrical power addition or perpendicular to it. The implant preferably has a pair of markers such as, for example, protrusions, indentations or other types of visual indicators, in the direction of the cylindrical axis to easily mark and identify this direction. This indexing assists the surgeon in the proper placement of the implant under the flap with the correct orientation during surgery to correct astigmatism in any axis.

For simple or compound presbyopia, the implant is made by modifying the radius of curvature in the central 1.5-3mm, thereby forming a multi-focal outer corneal surface where the central portion of the cornea achieves an added plus power for close-up work. The base of an implant designed for compound presbyopia can have a design to alter the cornea to achieve any desired correction for the myopic, hyperopic, or astigmatic eye.

The material from which any one or more of these implants are made is preferably a clear, permeable, microporous hydrogel with a water content greater than 40% up to approximately 90%. The refractive index should be substantially identical to the refractive index of corneal tissue. The permeability of the material is effected through a network of irregular passageways such as to permit adequate nutrient and fluid transfer to prevent tissue necrosis, but which are small enough to act as a barrier against the tissue ingrowth from one side of the implant to another. This helps the transmembrane tissue viability while continuing to make the implant removable and exchangeable.

The refractive index of the implant material should be in the range of 1.36-1.39, which is substantially similar to that of the cornea (1.376). This

substantially similar refractive index prevents optical aberrations due to edge effects at the cornea-implant interface.

The microporous hydrogel material can be formed from at least one (and preferably more) hydrophilic monomer, which is polymerized and cross-linked with at least one multi- or di-olefinic cross-linking agent.

The implants described above can be placed in the cornea by making a substantially circular lamellar flap using any commercially available microkeratome. When the flap is formed, a hinge is preferably left to facilitate proper alignment of the dissected corneal tissue after the implant is placed on the exposed cornea.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

A better understanding of the invention can be obtained from the detailed description of exemplary embodiments set forth below, when considered in conjunction with the appended drawings, in which:

Fig. 1 is a schematic illustration of a horizontal section of a human eye;

Fig. 2 is a schematic illustration of an eye system showing adjustment of the cornea to steepen the corneal slope to correct for hyperopia;

Fig. 3 is a schematic illustration of an eye system showing adjustment of the cornea to flatten the corneal slope to correct for myopia;

Figs. 4a and 4b are sectional and plan views of a solid corneal implant for correcting hyperopia;

Figs. 5a and 5b are sectional and plan views of a solid corneal implant for correcting myopia;

Figs. 6a and 6b are sectional and plan views of ring-shaped corneal implant for correcting myopia;

Figs. 7a and 7b are schematic representations of a lamellar dissection, with Fig. 7b showing in particular the portion of the dissected cornea being connected through a hinge to the intact cornea;

Fig. 8 is a schematic representations of a cornea in which an implant has been implanted for a hyperopic correction;

Figs. 9 and 10 are schematic representations of a cornea in which solid and ring-shaped implants, respectively, have been implanted lamellar for a myopic correction;

Figs. 11a, 11b, and 11c are plan and sectional views of an implant useful for correcting astigmatism where two axes have different diopter powers;

Figs. 12a, 12b, and 12c are plan and sectional views of an second implant for correcting astigmatism where the implant is elliptical in shape;

Fig. 13 is a plan view of an implant with a pair of tabs used to identify an axis for astigmatic correction;

Fig. 14 is a plan view of a second implant for astigmatic correction where indentations are used instead of tabs;

Figs. 15 and 16 are schematic representations showing implants with tabs orientated along the astigmatic axis for correcting astigmatism;

Fig. 17 is a sectional view of a corneal implant shaped to correct for compound presbyopia with an additional power in the center of an implant for correcting hyperopia;

Fig. 18 is a sectional view of another corneal implant shaped to correct for compound presbyopia with additional power in the center of an implant for correcting myopia;

Fig. 19 is a sectional view of a corneal implant with additional power in the center for correcting simple presbyopia;

Fig. 20a is a schematic representation of a corneal implant for an astigmatic correction with a central power add for correcting presbyopia, showing in particular a pair of tabs for proper alignment of the lens;

Fig. 20b is a schematic representation of a another corneal implant with a center power add for non-astigmatic correction, which shows in particular a steep transition between the central add and the remainder of the implant; and

Figs. 21a and 21b are schematic representations showing the use of a lamellar dissection for implanting a lens of the type shown in Fig. 20b.

5 DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF EXEMPLARY EMBODIMENTS

Referring first to Fig. 1 of the drawings, a schematic representation of the globe of the eye 10 is shown, which resembles a sphere with an anterior bulged spherical portion 12 that represents the cornea. The eye 10 is made up of three concentric coverings that enclose the various transparent media through which light must pass before reaching the light sensitive retina 14.

The outer-most covering is a fibrous protective portion that includes a posterior layer which is white and opaque, called the sclera 16, which is sometimes referred to as the white of the eye where it is visible from the front. The anterior 1/6th of this outer layer is the transparent cornea 12.

15 A middle covering is mainly vascular and nutritive in function and is made up of the choroid 18, the ciliary 20 and the iris 22. The choroid generally functions to maintain the retina. The ciliary muscle 21 is involved in suspending the lens 24 and accommodating the lens. The iris 22 is the most anterior portion of the middle covering of the eye and is arranged in a frontal plane. The iris is a thin circular disc corresponding to the diaphragm of a camera, and is perforated near its center by a circular aperture called the pupil 26. The size of the pupil varies to regulate the amount of light that reaches the retina 14. It contracts also to accommodate, which serves to sharpen the focus by diminishing spherical aberrations. The iris 22 divides the space between the cornea 12 and the lens 24 into an anterior chamber 28 and posterior chamber 30.

25 The inner-most covering is the retina 14, consisting of nerve elements which form the true receptive portion for visual impressions that are transmitted to the brain. The vitreous 32 is a transparent gelatinous mass which fills the

posterior 4/5ths the globe 10. The vitreous supports the ciliary body 20 and the retina 14.

Referring to Fig. 2 of the drawings, the globe of an eye 10 is shown as having a cornea 12 with a normal curvature represented by a solid line 34. For people with normal vision, when parallel rays of light 36 pass through the corneal surface 34, they are refracted by the corneal surfaces to converge eventually near the retina 14 (Fig. 1). The diagram of Fig. 2 discounts, for the purposes of this discussion, the refractive effect of the lens or other portions of the eye. However, as depicted in Fig. 2, when the eye is hyperopic the rays of light 36 are refracted to converge at a point 38 behind the retina.

If the outer surface of the cornea 12 is caused to steepen, as shown by dotted lines 40, such as through the implantation of a corneal implant of an appropriate shape as discussed below, the rays of light 36 are refracted from the steeper surface at a greater angle as shown by dotted lines 42, causing the light to focus at a shorter distance, such as directly on the retina 14.

Fig. 3 shows a similar eye system to that of Fig. 2 except that the normal corneal curvature causes the light rays 36 to focus at a point 44 in the vitreous which is short of the retinal surface. This is typical of a myopic eye. If the cornea is flattened as shown by dotted lines 46 through the use of a properly-shaped corneal implant, light rays 36 will be refracted at a smaller angle and converge at a more distant point such as directly on the retina 14 as shown by dotted lines 48.

A hyperopic eye of the type shown in Fig. 2 can be corrected by implanting an implant 50 having a shape as shown in Figs. 4a, 4b. The implant 50 is in the shape of a meniscus lens with an outer surface 52 that has a radius of curvature that is smaller than the radius of curvature of the inner surface 54. When a lens of this type is implanted using the method discussed below, it will cause the outer surface of the cornea to become steeper in shape as shown by reference numeral 40 in Fig. 2, correcting the patient's vision so that light entering the eye will converge on the retina as shown by the dotted lines 42 in Fig. 2.

On the other hand, in order to cure myopia, an implant 56 having the shape shown in Figs. 5a, 5b, can be used where an outer surface 58 is flatter or formed with a larger radius than that of the inner surface 60 which is formed with a radius of curvature substantially identical to that of the corneal stroma bed generated by the lamellar dissection described below. The implant 56 has a transition zone 62 formed between the outer and inner surfaces 58, 60, which is outside of the optical zone. In this way, the curvature of the outer surface of the cornea, as shown in Fig. 3, is flattened to an extent appropriate to achieve the proper refractive correction desired so that light entering the eye will converge on the retina as shown in Fig. 3.

Alternatively, instead of using a solid implant as shown in Figs. 5a, 5b, for correcting myopia, a ring 64 of the type shown in Figs. 6a, 6b could be used. This ring has substantially the same effect as the implant shown in Figs. 5a, 5b, by flattening the outer surface of the cornea shown in Fig. 3. The ring 64 has a center opening 66 that is preferably larger than the optical zone so as not to cause spherical aberrations in light entering the eye.

Implants of the type shown in Figs. 4, 5 and 6 can be implanted in the cornea using a lamellar dissection shown schematically in Figs. 7a, 7b. In this procedure, a keratome (not shown) is used in a known way to cut a portion of the outer surface of the cornea 12 along dotted lines 68 as shown in Fig. 7a. This type of cut is used to form a corneal flap 70 shown in Fig. 7b, which remains attached to the cornea 12 through what is called a hinge 72. The hinge 72 is useful for allowing the flap 70 to be replaced with the same orientation as before the cut.

As is also known in the art, the flap is cut deeply enough to dissect the Bowman's membrane portion of the cornea, such as in keratome surgery or for subsequent removal of the tissue by laser or surgical removal. A corneal flap of 100 to 200 microns, typically 160 to 180 microns, will be made to eliminate the Bowman's membrane tension. This reduces the possibility of extrusion of the implants due to pressure generated within the cornea caused by the addition of the

implant. Implants of the type shown in Figs. 4, 5 and 6 are shown implanted in corneas in Figs. 8, 9 and 10, respectively, after the flap has been replaced in its normal position. These figures show the corrected shape for the outer surface of the cornea as a result of implants of the shapes described.

5 Implants can also be formed with a cylindrical addition in one axis of the lens in order to correct for astigmatism, as shown in the implants in Figs. 11-16. Such implants can be oval or elliptical in shape, which the longer axis either in the direction of cylindrical power addition or perpendicular to it. For example, the implant can be circular as shown in Fig. 11a where the implant 72 has axes
10 identified as x, y. In the case of a circular implant 72, the axes of the implant have different diopter powers as shown in Figs. 11b and 11c, which are cross-sectional views of the implant 72 along the x and y axes, respectively. The different thicknesses of the lenses in Figs. 11b and 11c illustrate the different diopter powers along these axes.

15 Alternatively, as shown in Fig. 5a, an astigmatic implant 74 can be oval or elliptical in shape. The implant 74 also has axes x, y. As shown in the cross-sectional views of the implant 74 in Figs. 12b, 12c, along those two axes, respectively, the implant has different diopter powers as shown by the different thicknesses in the figures.

20 Because implants of the type identified by reference numeral 72, 74 are relatively small and transparent, it is difficult for the surgeon to maintain proper orientation along the x and y axes. In order to assist the surgeon, tabs 76a, 76b or indentations 78a, 78b are used to identify one or the other of the axis of the implant to maintain proper alignment during implantation. This is shown in Figs.
25 15, 16 where, for example, indentations 76a, 76b, are aligned with axis x which has been determined as the proper axis for alignment in order to effect the astigmatic correction. Alternatively, other types of markers could be used such as visual indicators such as markings on or in the implants outside of the optical zone.

Referring to Figs. 17-21, implants with presbyopic corrections are shown. In Fig. 17, an compound implant 80 is shown, which is appropriate for hyperopic correction, which has an additional power section 82 in the center. As shown, the implant 82 has anterior and posterior curvatures similar to those in Figs. 4a, 4b, in order to correct for hyperopia. In Fig. 18, a central power add 84 is formed on another compound implant 86, which has a base shape similar to the one shown in Figs. 5a, 5b, and is appropriate for a myopic correction. In Fig. 19, a central power portion 88 is added to an simple planal implant 90 which has outer and inner surfaces of equal radii, which does not add any correction other than the central power.

The central power add portions 82, 84, and 88 are preferably within the range of 1.5-3mm in diameter, most preferably 2mm, and which provide a multifocal outer corneal surface where the central portion of the cornea achieves an added plus power for close-up work. In addition to the based device having no correction, or corrections for hyperopia or myopia, the base device can have a simple spherical correction for astigmatism as shown in Fig. 20a, where a central power add 92 is added to an implant 94 similar to the one shown in Fig. 11a, which also includes tabs 76a, 76b.

As shown in Fig. 20b in order to enhance the acuity of a presbyopic implant, a transition zone 96 can be formed around the central power add 98 for implant 100. This transition zone 96 is a sharp zone change in power from central added power to peripheral base power and is anchored over a radial distance 0.5 to 0.2 mm start to from the end of the central zone.

Implantation of the device shown in Fig. 20b, is illustrated in Figs. 21a, 21b, where a flap 102 formed through a lamellar dissection is shown pulled back in Fig. 21a so that the implant 100 can be positioned, and then replaced as shown in Fig. 21b for the presbyopic correction. As shown, the formation of a sharp transition 96 on the implant 100 provides a well defined central power after implantation is complete.

The implants described above are preferably formed of a microporous hydrogel material in order to provide for the efficacious transmission of nutrients from the inner to the outer surface of the implants. The hydrogels also preferably have micropores in the form of irregular passageways, which are small enough to screen against tissue ingrowth, but large enough to allow for nutrients to be transmitted. These microporous hydrogels are different from non-microporous hydrogels because they allow fluid containing nutrients to be transmitted between the cells that make up the material, not from cell-to-cell such as in normal hydrogel materials. Hydrogels of this type can be formed from at least one, and preferably more, hydrophillic monomer which is polymerized and cross-linked with at least one multi-or di-olefinic cross-linking agent.

An important aspect of the materials of the present invention is that the microporous hydrogel have micropores in the hydrogel. Such micropores should in general have a diameter ranging from 50 Angstroms to 10 microns, more particularly ranging from 50 Angstroms to 1 micron. A microporous hydrogel in accordance with the present invention can be made from any of the following methods.

Hydrogels can be synthesized as a zero gel by ultraviolet or thermal curing of hydrophillic monomers and low levels of cross-linking agents such as diacrylates and other UV or thermal initiators. These lightly cross-linked hydrogels are then machined into appropriate physical dimensions and hydrated in water at elevated temperatures. Upon complete hydration, hydrogel prosthesis are flash-frozen to temperatures below negative 40°C, and then gradually warmed to a temperature of negative 20°C to negative 10°C and maintained at the same temperature for some time, typically 12 to 48 hours, in order to grow ice crystals to larger dimensions to generate the porous structure via expanding ice crystals. The frozen and annealed hydrogel is then quickly thawed to yield the microporous hydrogel device. Alternatively, the hydrated hydrogel device can be lyophilized and rehydrated to yield a microporous hydrogel.

5 Still further, the microporous hydrogel can also be made by starting with a known formulation of monomers which can yield a desired cross-linked hydrogel, dissolving in said monomer mixture a low molecular weight polymer as a filler which is soluble in said mixture and then polymerizing the mixture. Resulted polymer is converted into the required device shape and then extracted with an appropriate solvent to extract out the filled polymer and the result in a matrix hydrated to yield a microporous device.

Still further and alternatively, microporous hydrogels can also be made by any of the above methods with the modification of adding an adequate amount of solvent or water to give a pre-swollen finished hydrogel, which can then be purified by extraction. Such formulation can be directly cast molded in a desired configuration and do not require subsequent machining processes for converting.




I claim:

- 1 1. A corneal implant, comprising:
 - 2 a) a body formed of an optically clear, biocompatible material
 - 3 having an index of refraction substantially the same as that
 - 4 of corneal tissue;
 - 5 b) the body being solid and formed with "x" and "y" axes
 - 6 orientated at 90 ° relative to each other; the diopter power
 - 7 along one axis being greater than along the other axis for
 - 8 effecting an astigmatic correction;
 - 9 c) the body further including a marker for assisting in the
 - 10 orientation of the implant during implantation.
- 1 2. The implant of claim 1, wherein the body is generally circular in
- 2 shape.
- 1 3. The implant of claim 1, wherein the body is generally elliptical in
- 2 shape.
- 1 4. The implant of claim 1, wherein the marker includes forming a pair
- 2 of tabs on the body on opposite sides of the implant along the same
- 3 axis.
- 1 5. The implant of claim 1, wherein the marker includes forming a pair
- 2 of indentations in the body on opposite sides of the implant along
- 3 the same axis.

- 1 6. The implant of claim 1, wherein the marker can be any visual
2 marker, including ink.
- 1 7. A corneal implant, comprising:
2 a) a body formed of an optically clear, biocompatible material
3 having inner and outer surfaces and an index of refraction
4 substantially the same as that of corneal tissue;
5 b) the body being solid and formed with a central power add
6 on the outer surface for increasing the diopter power of the
7 body in the central portion for correcting presbyopia, the
8 central power add having a diameter between about 1 mm
9 to about 3.5 mm;
10 c) the central power add having a relatively steep transition
11 from the outer surface of the body for providing a relatively
12 sharp change of power from the central power add to the
13 body.
- 1 8. The implant of claim 7, wherein the transition is between about
2 0.05 mm to about 0.2 mm around the central power add.
- 1 9. The corneal implant of claim 7, wherein the body has a shape to
2 correct for hyperopia.
- 1 10. The corneal implant of claim 7, wherein the body has a shape to
2 correct for myopia.






- 1 11. The corneal implant of claim 7, wherein the body has a shape to
2 correct for astigmatism.
- 1 12. A corneal implant, comprising:
2 a) a body formed of an optically clear, biocompatible material
3 having inner and outer surfaces and an index of refraction
4 substantially the same as that of corneal tissue;
5 b) the body being solid and formed of a microporous hydrogel
6 having irregular passageways for nutrient transport.
- 1 13. The corneal implant of claim 12, wherein the body is shaped to
2 correct for hyperopia.
- 1 14. The corneal implant of claim 12, wherein the body is shaped to
2 correct for myopia.
- 1 15. The corneal implant of claim 12, wherein the implant is shaped to
2 correct for astigmatism.
- 1 16. The corneal implant of claim 12, wherein the implant is shaped to
2 correct for presbyopia.

1/5







FIG. 1FIG. 2FIG. 3

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

2/5





FIG. 4aFIG. 4bFIG. 5aFIG. 5bFIG. 6aFIG. 6bFIG. 7aFIG. 7b

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

FIG. 8FIG. 9FIG. 10FIG. 11aFIG. 12aFIG. 11bFIG. 12bFIG. 11cFIG. 12c




SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

4/5

FIG. 13FIG. 14FIG. 15FIG. 16FIG. 17FIG. 18FIG. 19

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

5/5

FIG. 20aFIG. 20bFIG. 21aFIG. 21b

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)